

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of STONIE DAILYNN BROWN and
KARLEE YVETTE BROWN, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

CYNTHIA MONIQUE STEWART,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

KARL BROWN,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED
September 16, 2003

No. 242526
Wayne Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 95-323850

AFTER REMAND

In the Matter of STONIE DAILYNN BROWN and
KARLEE YVETTE BROWN, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

KARL BROWN,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

CYNTHIA MONIQUE STEWART,

Respondent.

No. 242621
Wayne Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 95-323850

Before: Smolenski, P.J., and Cooper and Fort Hood, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In our previous opinion in this case, *In re Brown*, unpublished opinion per curiam of the Court of Appeals, issued June 24, 2003 (Docket Nos. 242526, 242621), we affirmed the trial court's order terminating respondents' parental rights. While the trial court expressed its reasons on the record for terminating respondents' parental rights, it failed to state the specific statutory grounds and we remanded the case for the limited purpose of this articulation.

On July 11, 2003, the trial court entered an amended order of termination in which it stated that respondent-mother's parental rights were terminated pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(i), (j), and (l), and respondent-father's parental rights were terminated pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(g), (h), and (j). Having satisfied the requirement of MCR 5.974(G)(3), we once again affirm the trial court's decision terminating respondents' parental rights for the reasons stated in our previous opinion.

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael R. Smolenski
/s/ Jessica R. Cooper
/s/ Karen M. Fort Hood